

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,897	04/04/2005	Jack W Long	T3403-902778US01	8878
	04/04/2005 Jack W Long 7590 10/04/2007 & STOCKBRIDGE PC NNACLE DRIVE 600	EXAMINER		
10/500,897 04/04/2005 Jack W Long		KUMAR, PREETI		
	22102-3833		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	•
			Livering a many numer	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ipdocketing@milesstockbridge.com sstiles@milesstockbridge.com

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/500,897	LONG, JACK W			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Preeti Kumar	1751			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. by be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04</u>	-				
· <u> </u>	, 				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	•	•			
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	drawn from consideration.				
8) Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Application Papers	.ta				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		the Examiner			
Applicant may not request that any objection to t					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached (Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication from the Internation for a line of the papplication for a line of	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apportionity documents have been received in Received in Received in Received in Received.	plication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗍 Intention Co.	mmary (PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-13, drawn to a composition comprising an effective amount of an antimicrobial agent comprising a blend of substituted ammonium salts of alkylated phosphoric acids admixed with free alkylated phosphoric acid; an effective amount of a pesticide containing Permethrin; and a sufficient amount of an emulsifier to maintain a stable emulsion.

Group II, claim(s) 14-15, drawn to a method for making a composition for topical treatment of textile, the process comprising, in order, the claimed steps.

2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: At least de Sousa et al. (US 4,503,100) teach a pesticide composition comprising 5.5 parts of Permethrin, 3 parts phosphoric acid and 12.5 parts of the Na salt of sulfated nonylphenol ethoxylated with 40 mol of ethylene oxide, for spray application to woolen textile. See examples 6-8. in col.29-30. Accordingly, since the claimed composition is not novel, the Groups I

Application/Control Number: 10/500,897 Page 3

Art Unit: 1751

and II lack the same or corresponding special technical feature and thus lack unity of invention.

3. Due to the complexity of the above lack of unity restriction requirement, a telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/500,897

Art Unit: 1751

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Preeti Kumar whose telephone number is 571-272-1320. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am-5pm M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doug McGinty can be reached on 571-272-1029. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Examiner Preeti Kumar PK Art Unit 1751

Page 4

PK

DOUGLAS MCGINTY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1751

Daryla M Emst